



## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/076,957	02/19/2002	Alex Margulis	P-4661-US	2027
26529	7590 10/04/2005		EXAM	INER
BLAKELY S	SOKOLOFF TAYLOR &	FILE, ERIN M		
12400 WILSH	IIRE BOULEVARD			
SEVENTH FI	LOOR		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
LOS ANGEL	ES, CA 90025		2634	·- ·

DATE MAILED: 10/04/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/076,957	MARGULIS ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
_	Erin M. File	2634
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING I - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailine earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICA .136(a). In no event, however, may a repl d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTH tte, cause the application to become ABAN	ATION.  ly be timely filed  IS from the mailing date of this communication.  NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 27.	July 2005	
	is action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition for allows		s, prosecution as to the merits is
closed in accordance with the practice under	•	·
Disposition of Claims	• •	
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-27</u> is/are pending in the application	n	
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra		
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.		
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,2,12,13 and 26</u> is/are rejected.		
7) Claim(s) <u>3-11,14-25 and 27</u> is/are objected to	<b>)</b> .	
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	or election requirement.	
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examin	ner	
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>19 February 2002</u> is/a		piected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	, – , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	•
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre		
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig	n priority under 35 U.S.C. § 1	19(a)-(d) or (f).
a) All b) Some * c) None of:		
1. Certified copies of the priority documer		e e N
2. Certified copies of the priority documer	• •	
3. Copies of the certified copies of the pri	•	eceived in this National Stage
application from the International Bures * See the attached detailed Office action for a lis	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	ecoived
oss the attached detailed Office action for a lis	. o. are contined copies not re	ourou.
Attachment(s)		
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Sur	
<ol> <li>Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> <li>Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08</li> </ol>		Mail Date ormal Patent Application (PTO-152)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>8/1/2005</u> .	6) Other:	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·

Art Unit: 2634

## **DETAILED ACTION**

Page 2

## Response to Arguments

1. Applicant's arguments filed July 27, 2005 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

The applicant traverses the examiners rejection as follows:

Applicant respectfully submits, however, that this interpretation of Sriram is contradicted by the actual teachings of Sriram. Sriram taught use of a global chip counter (GCC) 100 to maintain timing in a code division multiple access (CDMA) rake receiver employing a correlator co-processor (CCP) 200. See, e.g., Sriram in column 4 at lines 6-27. GCC 100 is to count samples of CDMA signals as they arrive at the receiver. See, e.g., Sriram in column 4 at lines 22-32. Sriram also taught all timing in CCP 200 is relative to the GCC 100 count value. See, e.g., Sriram in column 4 at lines 32-44 and in column 6 at lines 43-49. Noting interrupt generator 214 as illustrated in Figure 2 of Sriram forms a part of CCP 200, Applicant therefore respectfully submits the rate of generation of interrupts by interrupt generator 214 per unit time is not independent of data transfer rate.

The examiner disagrees with the interpretation of Sririam as given by the applicant. The examiner's explanation of the generation is found in Claim 1 below. Further, it is not that the applicants claims require that the interrupts are generated *only* in response to transfer of information independent of the rate of transfer. The preamble term comprising only requires that the reference shows that interrupts are generation independent of the data rate, which as stated, is shown in claim 1.

Page 3

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

3. Claims 1, 2, 12, 13, 26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Sriram.

Claims 1, 12, 26, Sriram discloses a Code Division Multiple Access (CDMA) rake receiver which includes an interrupt generator (col. 2, lines 45-56). The interrupt generator generates task based interrupts which generates interrupts mainly in response to data transfer (col. 6, lines 57-67). Because the interrupts are generated by data tasks and not the data the interrupt rate is independent of the data transfer rate.

Claims 2, 13, inherits the limitations of Claims 1 and 12 respectively. Sririam further discloses symbols passed into the data path and into the DPE and EOL buffers, which are both tasks which generate interrupts (col. 5, lines 25-30, col. 6, lines 61-63).

Application/Control Number: 10/076,957

Art Unit: 2634

## Allowable Subject Matter

Page 4

4. Claims 3-11, 14-25, 27 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Erin M. File whose telephone number is (571)272-6040. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Stephen Chin can be reached on (571)272-3056. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Erin File

9/27/2005

STEPHEN CHIN SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMIN TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600